

Does the Kremlin Have an Alternative?

Let's imagine that the Kremlin unexpectedly decides to behave constructively and engage in negotiation – what is there to negotiate?

By Ella Paneyakh

September 04, 2019



Vladimir Astapkovich / TASS

Once again, August has proved to be a complicated month for modern Russia. The large-scale political <u>crisis</u> that erupted following the unceremonious exclusion of a number of opposition candidates for the Moscow city council elections has moved well beyond the arena of local elections, placing into serious doubt the Kremlin's fundamental capacity to rule effectively.

Citizens offended by the lawlessness have been protesting in Moscow for weeks already. The authorities have nothing to offer in response apart from the batons of the National Guard, fines and criminal cases for the participants and serial administrative detentions for the candidates themselves, with the apparent aim of "decapitating" the protests.

However, the protests are characterized by horizontal methods of self-organization and seem quite comfortable without any heads or leaders.

The real shock lies not so much in the fact that the Russian authorities are inclined to prefer violence, without bothering to search for more refined solutions, but the fact that it appears they have no other options left.

Let's imagine that the Kremlin unexpectedly decides to behave constructively and engage in negotiation — what is there to negotiate? There is not a single independent institution left that could take on the role of an intermediary, nor a single political platform where it would be possible to at least align interests.

Related article: Summer of Discontent: Meet the People Working to Help Detained Russian Protesters

The State Duma is no place for discussion and in any case there is no real opposition there; the Council for Civil Society and Human Rights has no powers and courts have been completely crushed by the executive branch and cannot be taken seriously.

Can the Kremlin co-opt the moderate-leaning politicians? The problem here is that these very elections have already shown that any contact with the authorities instantaneously wrecks the reputation of a candidate who in the past would have been completely acceptable, turning them into an enemy in the eyes of the very same potential electorate that they are seeking to seduce.

What kind of co-opting of the moderates can be discussed when the authorities' own candidates are running as independents in elections across the country so as not to soil themselves with the toxic label of the ruling party?

What about throwing money at the problem, an option that has worked on more than one occasion in the past?

Related article: <u>Iconic Photos From Moscow's Aug. 3 Opposition Protests</u>

Unfortunately, this money more often than not gets "redistributed" without any scrutiny from above.

Propaganda? The alternative pro-Putin rallies that have been held this summer didn't even attract the attention of those in favor of the regime.

And by the way, where are they, these supporters of the regime?

During the last few weeks, we have heard no objections from Kremlin loyalists to the demands of the protesters, apart from expressions of understandable fear in the face of destabilization.

This anxiety is understandable: When the authorities have no other answer to a problem but batons, stability is indeed under threat. But an alternative agenda has not appeared on the table.

Yes, the authorities still have legions of loyal followers and even more people who are ready to tolerate the status quo just so things don't get any worse, but it seems that the regime no longer has any active and ideological supporters.

In this situation the discontented, although they remain a minority, are gradually turning into the only active force in a country that is mired in stagnation.

The people now protesting in Moscow are educated citizens — pacifists and supporters of peaceful demonstrations who are able to remain calm even under the vindictive blows of batons.

What will the authorities do if tomorrow a new wave of discontent begins — what if, for example, some offended workers with different priorities decide to go on strike?

Beat them up and imprison them — what alternatives does the Kremlin have?

What if, as has happened before, a separatist movement appears in some region? What if some "black swan" flies in, an event that at present is unimaginable?

When a hammer is the only tool you have, any problem looks like a nail.

A Russian version of this article was first published in Vedomosti.

The views expressed in opinion pieces do not necessarily reflect the position of The Moscow Times.

Original url:

https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2019/09/04/does-the-kremlin-have-an-alternative-a67123