

# B2B: Anti-Monopoly Legislation and Intellectual Property

By [Valentina Orlova](#)

September 30, 2013

**The**  **Moscow Times**

*The MT Conferences section did not involve the reporting or the editorial staff of The Moscow Times.*



**Valentina Orlova**

Head of Intellectual Property and Trademarks Practice  
Pepeliaev Group

Over the past few months numerous attempts have been made to extend anti-monopoly legislation to intellectual property (IP) and means of identification. There have also been a number of discussions about so-called "monopoly" IP rights. It is often claimed that such monopoly rights are excessive and adversely affect scientific and technical development, and that certain limits should be imposed.

At the same time, however, many academics and legal practitioners specializing in IP believe that the current legal framework for regulating IP rights in Russia, from the perspective of competition, is appropriate in the given market environment and consistent with international standards in this area.

Advocates of the abolition or limitation of "monopoly" exclusive rights tend to ignore the need to balance the interests of all participants in civil law relationships where IP items are created and used. First and foremost, they disregard the rights of the creators of intellectual property — the very same people who are at the forefront of advances in science and technologies.

In a bid to place limits on "monopoly" IP rights by using competition legislation, proponents of this approach appear to forget about existing rules of domestic law that already place limits on "monopoly" IP rights and specify the terms for such restrictions. Such rules work quite well — unfortunately, they are implemented in other countries, and not in Russia.

Such restrictions include: (1) provisions that allow third parties to use copyrighted material without requesting the consent of the right holder and without paying royalties; (2) provisions about compulsory licensing with respect to patented items; (3) provisions regarding the exhaustion of rights to trademarks put on the market by or with the consent of the right holder.

It is detrimental  
to apply  
mechanically  
the rules  
of competition  
legislation  
to relationships  
that are governed  
by another area  
of law.

It would appear that current Russian legislation has plenty of rules that limit the rights to use IP when these rights are at variance with or contravene public interest. It is not only unreasonable, but is even detrimental to apply mechanically the rules of competition legislation to the relationships governed by another area of law (These rules aim, among other things, to establish the organizational and legal framework for protecting competition, including preventing and restraining monopoly activities, unfair competition and any actions of the federal executive bodies and governments of Russia's constituent entities and local authorities aimed at preventing, restricting or eliminating competition.). Moreover, it should be recalled that Article 10 of the Competition Law does not apply to exclusive rights to intellectual property and equivalent means of identification of a legal entity, means of identification of products, work or services.

It goes without saying that some provisions could be improved, including definitions of actions that constitute unfair competition; they could be applied to the unlawful use of IP and means of identification without the consent of the right holder. There is apparently no difference between actions that constitute, for instance, an unlawful use of trademarks and actions deemed unfair competition, also with respect to trademarks. Yet, it is impossible to classify simulation or imitation from the perspective of the Competition Law. Another example: foreign legislation and case law describe such an offense as sponging on a competitor's reputation, intellectual property and means of identification. Unfortunately, this concept is not covered in the Russian law.

At this point in time, it would probably be more beneficial if legislators concentrated on improving those provisions of the Competition Law that really need improvement.

*The MT Conferences section did not involve the reporting or the editorial staff of The Moscow Times.*

Original url:

<https://www.themoscowtimes.com/2013/09/30/b2b-anti-monopoly-legislation-and-intellectual-property-a28127>